

Modeling of Fiber-Reinforced Membrane Materials

MOOC@TU9

Daniel Balzani

(Acknowledgement: Anna Zahn)

Solutions – Week 2

Winter term 2014

Technische Universität Dresden
Institut für Mechanik und Flächentragwerke
Prof. Dr.-Ing. habil. D. Balzani
Nürnberger Str. 31a
01187 Dresden
daniel.balzani@tu-dresden.de

Solution of Task 1

In order to be able to use the same general solution for Task 1 and Task 2, the index “M” will not be used in the explanations regarding the first and second part of Task 1. In addition to that, the values of the specific parameters such as material constants or fiber angles are just inserted in part 3.

1. Since only two strain components are matter of interest, the matrix including the coefficients of the strain tensor $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_\varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Inserting this and the structural tensor

$$\mathbf{M}^{(a)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2(\beta^{(a)}) & \cos(\beta^{(a)}) \sin(\beta^{(a)}) \\ \cos(\beta^{(a)}) \sin(\beta^{(a)}) & \sin^2(\beta^{(a)}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

into the invariants (2), the expressions

$$J_1 = \varepsilon_\varphi + \varepsilon_z, \quad J_2 = \varepsilon_\varphi^2 + \varepsilon_z^2 \quad \text{and} \quad J_4^{(a)} = \varepsilon_\varphi \cos^2(\beta^{(a)}) + \varepsilon_z \sin^2(\beta^{(a)}) \quad (10)$$

are obtained. Inserting them into equation (3) yields the energy function

$$\psi(\varepsilon_\varphi, \varepsilon_z) = \frac{\lambda}{2} (\varepsilon_\varphi + \varepsilon_z)^2 + \mu (\varepsilon_\varphi^2 + \varepsilon_z^2) + \sum_{a=1}^2 \frac{\alpha^{(a)}}{2} \left(\varepsilon_\varphi \cos^2(\beta^{(a)}) + \varepsilon_z \sin^2(\beta^{(a)}) \right)^2. \quad (11)$$

2. After computing the derivatives

$$\sigma_\varphi = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varepsilon_\varphi} = \lambda (\varepsilon_\varphi + \varepsilon_z) + 2\mu \varepsilon_\varphi + \sum_{a=1}^2 \alpha^{(a)} \left(\varepsilon_\varphi \cos^2(\beta^{(a)}) + \varepsilon_z \sin^2(\beta^{(a)}) \right) \cos^2(\beta^{(a)}), \quad (12)$$

$$\sigma_z = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \varepsilon_z} = \lambda (\varepsilon_\varphi + \varepsilon_z) + 2\mu \varepsilon_z + \sum_{a=1}^2 \alpha^{(a)} \left(\varepsilon_\varphi \cos^2(\beta^{(a)}) + \varepsilon_z \sin^2(\beta^{(a)}) \right) \sin^2(\beta^{(a)}), \quad (13)$$

the system of equations can be formulated as

$$\sigma_\varphi = \left(\lambda + 2\mu + \sum_{a=1}^2 \alpha^{(a)} \cos^4(\beta^{(a)}) \right) \varepsilon_\varphi + \left(\lambda + \sum_{a=1}^2 \alpha^{(a)} \sin^2(\beta^{(a)}) \cos^2(\beta^{(a)}) \right) \varepsilon_z, \quad (14)$$

$$\sigma_z = \left(\lambda + \sum_{a=1}^2 \alpha^{(a)} \sin^2(\beta^{(a)}) \cos^2(\beta^{(a)}) \right) \varepsilon_\varphi + \left(\lambda + 2\mu + \sum_{a=1}^2 \alpha^{(a)} \sin^4(\beta^{(a)}) \right) \varepsilon_z. \quad (15)$$

3. Inserting the given fiber angles, equations (14) and (15) simplify to

$$\sigma_\varphi = \left(\lambda_M + 2\mu_M + \alpha_M^{(1)} \right) \varepsilon_\varphi + \lambda_M \varepsilon_z, \quad (16)$$

$$\sigma_z = \lambda_M \varepsilon_\varphi + \left(\lambda_M + 2\mu_M + \alpha_M^{(2)} \right) \varepsilon_z. \quad (17)$$

Note that here we consider the name index specifying the membrane material. Inserting $\varepsilon_z = 0$ and Barlow's formula $\sigma_\varphi = p_M \frac{r_M}{t_M}$ into (16), (17) and solving for the unknown quantities yields

$$\varepsilon_\varphi = \frac{p_M r_M}{t_M (\lambda_M + 2\mu_M + \alpha_M^{(1)})}, \quad (18)$$

$$\sigma_z = \lambda_M \varepsilon_\varphi = \frac{p_M r_M \lambda_M}{t_M (\lambda_M + 2\mu_M + \alpha_M^{(1)})}. \quad (19)$$

Inserting the given values for the parameters leads to the resulting values

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_\varphi &= 1.25 \text{‰} < \varepsilon_{\varphi, \max} = 2 \text{‰}, \\ \sigma_z &= 41.1 \text{ Pa} < \sigma_{z, \max} = 5 \text{ kPa}. \end{aligned}$$

Solution of Task 2

1. Due to the fact that the internal pressure is significantly higher and the fiber elasticity is significantly lower compared to the membrane material in Task 1, one could expect that huge strains may result here. As will be observed later this is not perfectly the case, although larger strains are obtained. One possible reason is the higher relative wall-thickness of the artery.
2. By inserting the given fiber angles for the artery into equations (14) and (15) we obtain

$$\sigma_\varphi = \left(\lambda_A + 2\mu_A + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_A \right) \varepsilon_\varphi + \left(\lambda_A + \frac{3}{8}\alpha_A \right) \varepsilon_z, \quad (20)$$

$$\sigma_z = \left(\lambda_A + \frac{3}{8}\alpha_A \right) \varepsilon_\varphi + \left(\lambda_A + 2\mu_A + \frac{1}{8}\alpha_A \right) \varepsilon_z. \quad (21)$$

Utilizing $\varepsilon_z = 0$ and $\sigma_\varphi = p_A \frac{r_A}{t_A}$ these equations can be solved for ε_φ and σ_z with the results

$$\varepsilon_\varphi = \frac{p_A r_A}{t_A (\lambda_A + 2\mu_A + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_A)}, \quad (22)$$

$$\sigma_z = \frac{p_A r_A (\lambda_A + \frac{3}{8}\alpha_A)}{t_A (\lambda_A + 2\mu_A + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_A)}. \quad (23)$$

Numerical evaluation using the specific parameters for the artery yields

$$\varepsilon_\varphi = 13.80 \text{‰} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_z = 56.30 \text{ kPa}.$$

3. Using the arterial material for the membrane is equivalent to inserting the membrane geometry and pressure into equations (22) and (23):

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_\varphi &= \frac{p_M r_M}{t_M (\lambda_A + 2\mu_A + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_A)} = 215.7 \text{‰}, \\ \sigma_z &= \frac{p_M r_M (\lambda_A + \frac{3}{8}\alpha_A)}{t_M (\lambda_A + 2\mu_A + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_A)} = 879.65 \text{ kPa}. \end{aligned}$$

The resulting circumferential strain is considerably high, thus, the arterial tissue cannot be used instead of the original membrane material!

4. If we wanted to use it however, we would have to increase the fiber stiffness in order to reduce the deformation. Solving

$$\varepsilon_\varphi = \frac{p_M r_M}{t_M (\lambda_A + 2\mu_A + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_A)} \leq \varepsilon_\varphi^*$$

for α_A leads to

$$\alpha_A = \alpha_A^* \geq \frac{8}{9} \left(\frac{p_M r_M}{\varepsilon_\varphi^* t_M} - \lambda_A - 2\mu_A \right).$$

The corresponding numerical value is

$$\alpha_A^* \geq 7377 \text{ kPa.}$$

Comparing this to the fiber stiffnesses of the original membrane material

$$\alpha_A^* = 0.0037 \alpha_M^{(1)} = 0.0221 \alpha_M^{(2)},$$

shows that the required fiber stiffness of the arterial tissue is still lower than the ones of the membrane material.